

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,615	11/10/2005	Abel Hernanadez Velazquez	976-27 PCT/US	1041
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 08/16/2007 & BARON, LLP		EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			BLUMEL, BENJAMIN P	
SYOSSET, NY	(11/91		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/540,615	VELAZQUEZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Benjamin P. Blumel	1648		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun	ne 23, 2005.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	<u></u>			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-38, drawn to Hepatitis A recombinant antigens.

Group II, claim(s) 39, drawn to a use of the Hepatitis A recombinant antigens.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The claims are directed to recombinant Hepatitis A antigens based on chimeric HAV genes based on pentamer fragments from the HAV genome of SEQ ID NO:3 and a use of these chimeric antigens. However, Diaz et al. (Memorial Instituto de Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, 1999) discloses the Hepatitis A virus that SEQ ID NO: 3 is derived from, Hepatitis A/Cuban/M2/1991 (Cuba-M2), which was isolated from the feces of an ailing child of the 1991 outbreak in Cuba. Diaz et al. compares the genetic relatedness between Cuba-M2 and various other Hepatitis A viruses and observes that a strain from Arizona isolated in 1979 shares the highest similarity. In

Art Unit: 1648

addition, Diaz et al. compare the VP1/2A junction of Cuba-M2 with 5 other Hepatitis A viruses along a 56 amino acid sequence pertaining to amino acid positions 764-819 deduced from SEQ ID NO: 3 of the instant application. Therefore, no special technical feature exists for groups I-II as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Because the technical feature of Groups I-II is not a special technical feature, unity of invention is lacking.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. A specific chimeric Hepatitis A virus antigen as stated in claims 3, 11, 18 and 25.
 - i. If applicants elect claim 3, 11, 18 or 25, an additional election of one specific protein from a. and b. is also required.
- **B.** A specific type of plant as stated in claims 6, 8, 14, 16, 21, 23, 28 and 30.
- C. A specific mode of administration as stated in claims 32, 34 and 36.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1648

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

A. Claims 3, 11, 18 and 25 require specific chimeric Hepatitis A virus antigens, all other claims are generic.

B. Claims 6, 8, 14, 16, 21, 23, 28 and 30 require a specific plant type, all other claims are generic

C. Claims 32, 34 and 36 require a specific mode of administration, all other claims are generic.

The following claim(s) are generic: Currently all claims are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each chimeric Hepatitis A virus antigen are distinct from each other because each pertains to a different protein of Hepatitis A virus. Furthermore, dicot and monocot plants are also distinct from each other and the mode of administration of the chimeric Hepatitis A virus antigens are also distinct.

Summary

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin P. Blumel whose telephone number is 571-272-4960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-1600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Benjamin P Blumel/ Examiner

Art Unit 1648